EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (L.R. 8-3)

2-cv-09229-GAF-FFM Document 31 Filed 12/03/12 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:136

Case 2:

6258264-v1

Case 2:12-cv-09229-GAF-FFM Document 31 Filed 12/03/12 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:137

VENABLE LLP

On December 4, 2012, the parties filed with the Court a Stipulation seeking to extend the
deadline by which Defendant Redefine Nutrition must respond to the Complaint until January 3,
2013. In support of the Stipulation, Redefine Nutrition submitted that good cause exists to grant
the Stipulation because; (1) Redefine Nutrition just recently retained undersigned counsel, and
undersigned counsel requires additional time to evaluate and investigate the allegations and
claims set forth in the Complaint; (2) no party will be prejudiced by the relief sought and
Plaintiff consents to the requested extension; (3) trial will not be delayed because the Court has
not set any trial date or other deadline in this case; and (4) no party has required any extension of
any deadline in this action.

Upon consideration of the Stipulation, and for good cause shown, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant Redefine Nutrition shall respond to the Complaint by no later than January 3, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2012

The Honorable Gary A. Feess United States District Judge